

Comment	Commenter	Edit to Vol II Made	Response
<b>FEATHER RIVER WATERSHED</b>			
<b>Lake Almanor Planning Unit</b>			
<p>ABWAC recommends that the Stewardship Council incorporate into its plan a recommendation for legal structures that encourage cooperation between local law enforcement and PG&amp;E in prohibiting unauthorized use of PG&amp;E land, particularly on wetlands and areas of critical habitat.</p>	<p>Almanor Basin Watershed Advisory Committee</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Within the Upper North Fork Feather River Project Settlement Agreement, the parties agreed to request the Plumas County Board of Supervisors to pass a county ordinance addressing motorized vehicles below the 4500' contour elevation (PG&amp;E datum), except in designated areas. If such ordinance is passed, PG&amp;E shall provide funding per the Settlement Agreement.</p>
<p>[Condensed from letter] An issue that has not been addressed in the public meetings that I have attended nor have I seen it mentioned in the Draft LCP Volume II, is the need for the installation of a sanitary sewer system on the east side of Lake Almanor. The current conditions include substandard parcels utilizing septic systems with limited or no areas for replacement leach fields. Concerns about pollution to the lake are also had. This matter is currently being studied by Plumas County and other agencies. The consensus appears to be that the long term solution is a central sewage disposal facility. I request that as PG&amp;E lands in the eastern portion of the lake are reviewed for donation and easements, that this social, economic, and environmental issue be considered and addressed. Critical to the installation of a treatment facility will be land to site the plant, ponds and service structure, as well as the necessary easements to deliver the sewage to the facility. Proper planning could not only improve environmental conditions at the lake, but also could include additional wetlands habitat for waterfowl and other species in the local area.</p>	<p>Chuck Leonhardt, Plumas County Assessor</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment noted. As per the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation PG&amp;E is required to preserve and enhance six Beneficial Public Values (BPVs) on the Watershed Lands, and not to provide lands for mitigation for sewage treatment, or any other purpose. There will be opportunities for the public and stakeholders to engage with the Stewardship Council and other stakeholders on topics related to the disposition and future management and stewardship of the lands. The Stewardship Council will provide public notice and encourage participation in meetings, workshops, and other appropriate methods of participation in the planning process.</p>

Feather River Watershed

Comment	Commenter	Edit to Vol II Made	Response
<p>The Greenville Rancheria appreciates the clarification of our cultural interests in this area. We did not find any negative aspects to this draft proposal and mapping documents. We do have questions in reference to state and federal statutes (NAGPRA) regarding repatriation of Native American remains if found within the project area. The Tribes should be the first individuals notified before the museums or collection agencies of Native American remains. The Greenville Rancheria requests that we be notified as soon as possible after local agencies (coroner, Tribe). We feel that the Stewardship Council has addressed the environmental issues in a very professional manor. The Greenville Rancheria supports any activities from the Maidu Summit Consortium.</p>	<p>Greenville Rancheria</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment noted. Native American remains would be handled in accordance with state and federal law (e.g., the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA)).</p>

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Edit to Vol II Made</b>	<b>Response</b>
<p>Forestry: Significant thinning of unnaturally dense forest stands have taken place around Lake Almanor. An ongoing collaboration, including the following, have continued to plan and implement hazardous forest fuels reduction and other educational efforts: industrial forestry property owners, US Forest Service, local fire departments, other property owners, Cal Fire, local districts, homeowners association, Plumas County, Plumas Fire Safe Council and Almanor Basin Fire Safe Council. All forestry activities in Plumas County by PG&amp;E, the Stewardship Council as well as successors should specifically mandate coordination with the community specific prescriptions in the Almanor Basin Fire Plan. This is tiered to other planning efforts: The Plumas County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, adopted by the State Fire Marshall, the Board of Supervisors and the Plumas County Fire Safe Council on private land as well as the Herger Feinstein Quincy Library Group Act on National Forest lands. Objectives should stress collaboration with these efforts and any future county policies affecting forestry and fuels management. These existing documents should be gathered by the Council and specifically included in the appendices. (FR-9). PG&amp;E is currently actively promoting carbon offset opportunities for its ratepayers. PG&amp;E also controls and manages a substantial land base in "timbered acres" (V.1, Appendix 6-2). The 51,700 acres statewide (including 5,350 acres in Plumas County) could be managed in ways designed to enhance carbon sequestration and "additionality". This would assist the implementation of AB 32 (global warming response) for both the state and the ratepayers.</p>	John Sheehan	Yes	<p>Due to the breadth and scope of the LCP, and the geography it encompasses, there are a significant number of important reference documents. To keep the LCP as manageable a document as possible, reference document citations are listed in the Sources section of the Supporting Analysis for Recommendations, but are not included in hard copy within the LCP. Text has been added to the Supporting Analysis for Recommendations and main Volume II documents to include coordination of development of the forest and fuels management plans with relevant county plans. Coordination with U.S. Forest Service (USFS) management prescriptions and practices is currently included as part of the potential measures, and would provide the coordination suggested related to the Herger Feinstein Quincy Library Group Act. As per the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation, PG&amp;E must protect the Watershed Lands with perpetual conservation easements, or some equivalent legal mechanism, to preserve and enhance six Beneficial Public Values (BPVs), including sustainable forestry. Details regarding how forest resources would be managed in the future, including timber management and forest prescriptions, would be developed as part of the recommended forest management plan for this planning unit. Conservation easements, and overall objectives for management plans, will be developed as part of the disposition process and will be included in the Disposition Packages (Volume IIIs). Specifics of management plans will likely be developed post transaction.</p>

## Feather River Watershed

Comment	Commenter	Edit to Vol II Made	Response
<p>General: Wastewater treatment on the East Shore and Septage treatment in general within the Almanor Basin continue to be a concern (first identified in 1987). The LCP should specifically note and include in the appendices the 2007 Almanor Basin Septage Receiving Facility Study (CH2MHill), which documents the scope of the problem. PG&amp;E should plan within the final LCP to make lands available for collection systems, treatment facilities and possible wetlands mitigation areas on the East Shore.</p>	John Sheehan	No	<p>Comment noted. As per the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation PG&amp;E is required to preserve and enhance six Beneficial Public Values (BPVs) on the Watershed Lands, and not to provide lands for mitigation for sewage treatment, or any other purpose. There will be opportunities for the public and stakeholders to engage with the Stewardship Council and other stakeholders on topics related to the disposition and future management and stewardship of the lands. The Stewardship Council will provide public notice and encourage participation in meetings, workshops, and other appropriate methods of participation in the planning process.</p>
<p>LART Trail Extension: PG&amp;E should maintain full authority and direction to construct, with others, the five mile LART extension on the Westside. They should also provide needed easements for such facilities on any non-FERC lands around the lake.</p>	John Sheehan	No	<p>Comment noted. The Stewardship Council developed a potential measure to extend the Lake Almanor Recreation Trail (LART) from its northern terminus to the Chester area. This potential measure would therefore include providing trail easements as necessary through the planning unit. Within the Upper North Fork Feather River (UNFFR) Draft Recreation Resource Management Plan, PG&amp;E has proposed providing trail easements on their lands where appropriate, in consultation with U.S. Forest Service (USFS) and Chester Recreation and Parks District.</p>
<p>Open Space Easements: The draft suggests that the PG&amp;E owned lands above 4,500' elevation be precluded from future development (FR-6). The lands on the SE shore are particularly highlighted. These lands and their lakeshore locations may be integral to Plumas County's future economics. No conservation easements/sales should be instituted until and after proposed easement language has been disclosed and publicly vetted with current lessees (if any) and Plumas County itself for Property Tax and economic implications. Additionally, any Council proposals for changes in land tenure (e.g. donations or easements to donees) or major changes in lease terms in the Almanor Basin should specifically be presented to the Almanor Basin Watershed Advisory Council, prior to subsequent pre-decisional review by the Plumas County Board of Supervisors. Plumas Corporation has requested inclusion on the donee registry. Plumas County has requested the right of first refusal for all lands. We trust these requests will be responded to in the final LCP.</p>	John Sheehan	No	<p>Conservation easements will be developed as part of the disposition process and will be included in the Disposition Packages (Volume IIIs). The easements will describe all prohibited uses to maintain open space values, including the level of uses allowed. The Stewardship Council is developing an explicit set of criteria that will be used to determine which potential donees are qualified to participate in the disposition process. Qualified donees will be determined during the early stages of developing the Disposition Packages. There will be opportunities for the public and stakeholders to engage with the Stewardship Council and other stakeholders on this topic as well as other topics related to the disposition and future management and stewardship of the lands. The Stewardship Council will provide public notice and encourage participation in meetings, workshops, and other appropriate methods of participation in the planning process.</p>

Public Comments and  
Response to Comments on LCP Volume II

Comment	Commenter	Edit to Vol II Made	Response
<p>Parking Area and Wildlife Viewing platform: The map addressing (FR-10) this project shows a wide area of possible locations for the facility at both ends of the causeway. Any such development should include accessible restrooms. A restroom on Chester’s eastside was recommended as a high priority by the Chester Lake Almanor Chamber of Commerce in a 2002 Tourism Plan (Basic Tourism Infrastructure...Strategy). The closer the facility is to the east side of Chester, the more usable it will be.</p>	John Sheehan	No	<p>The Stewardship Council received input from various stakeholders that suggested several potential locations for the potential measure to develop a viewing platform; and field visits indicated several potential sites may be viable. The evaluation of potential sites as proposed in the measure would address advantages and disadvantages of each, as well as the need and feasibility of amenities such as accessible restrooms, and will occur as part of the disposition process.</p>
<p>Forestry: Significant thinning of unnaturally dense forest stands have taken place around Lake Almanor. An ongoing collaboration, including the following, have continued to plan and implement hazardous forest fuels reduction and other educational efforts: industrial forestry property owners, US Forest Service, local fire departments, other property owners, Cal Fire, local districts, homeowners association, Plumas County, Plumas Fire Safe Council and Almanor Basin Fire Safe Council. All forestry activities in Plumas County by PG&amp;E, the Stewardship Council as well as successors should specifically mandate coordination with the community specific prescriptions in the Almanor Basin Fire Plan. This is tiered to other planning efforts: The Plumas County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, adopted by the State Fire Marshall, the Board of Supervisors and the Plumas County Fire Safe Council on private land as well as the Herger Feinstein Quincy Library Group Act on National Forest lands. Objectives should stress collaboration with these efforts and any future county policies affecting forestry and fuels management. These existing documents should be gathered by the Council and specifically included in the appendices. (FR-9). PG&amp;E is currently actively promoting carbon offset opportunities for its ratepayers. PG&amp;E also controls and manages a substantial land base in “timbered acres” (V.1, Appendix 6-2). The 51,700 acres statewide (including 5,350 acres in Plumas County) could be managed in ways designed to enhance carbon sequestration and “additionality”. This would assist the implementation of AB 32 (global warming response) for both the state and the ratepayers.</p>	Ole Olsen, Plumas County Board of Supervisors	Yes	<p>Due to the breadth and scope of the LCP, and the geography it encompasses, there are a significant number of important reference documents. To keep the LCP as manageable a document as possible, reference document citations are listed in the Sources section of the Supporting Analysis for Recommendations, but are not included in hard copy within the LCP. Text has been added to the Supporting Analysis for Recommendations and main Volume II documents to include coordination of development of the forest and fuels management plans with relevant county plans. Coordination with U.S. Forest Service (USFS) management prescriptions and practices is currently included as part of the potential measures, and would provide the coordination suggested related to the Herger Feinstein Quincy Library Group Act. As per the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation, PG&amp;E must protect the Watershed Lands with perpetual conservation easements, or some equivalent legal mechanism, to preserve and enhance six Beneficial Public Values (BPVs), including sustainable forestry. Details regarding how forest resources would be managed in the future, including timber management and forest prescriptions, would be developed as part of the recommended forest management plan for this planning unit. Conservation easements, and overall objectives for management plans, will be developed as part of the disposition process and will be included in the Disposition Packages (Volume IIIs). Specifics of management plans will likely be developed post transaction.</p>

## Feather River Watershed

Comment	Commenter	Edit to Vol II Made	Response
<p>General: Wastewater treatment on the East Shore and Septage treatment in general within the Almanor Basin continue to be a concern (first identified in 1987). The LCP should specifically note and include in the appendices the 2007 Almanor Basin Septage Receiving Facility Study (CH2MHill), which documents the scope of the problem. PG&amp;E should plan within the final LCP to make lands available for collection systems, treatment facilities and possible wetlands mitigation areas on the East Shore.</p>	<p>Ole Olsen, Plumas County Board of Supervisors</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment noted. As per the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation PG&amp;E is required to preserve and enhance six Beneficial Public Values (BPVs) on the Watershed Lands, and not to provide lands for mitigation for sewage treatment, or any other purpose. There will be opportunities for the public and stakeholders to engage with the Stewardship Council and other stakeholders on topics related to the disposition and future management and stewardship of the lands. The Stewardship Council will provide public notice and encourage participation in meetings, workshops, and other appropriate methods of participation in the planning process.</p>
<p>LART Trail Extension: PG&amp;E should maintain full authority and direction to construct, with others, the five mile LART extension on the Westside. They should also provide needed easements for such facilities on any non-FERC lands around the lake.</p>	<p>Ole Olsen, Plumas County Board of Supervisors</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment noted. The Stewardship Council developed a potential measure to extend the Lake Almanor Recreation Trail (LART) from its northern terminus to the Chester area. This potential measure would therefore include providing trail easements as necessary through the planning unit. Within the Upper North Fork Feather River (UNFFR) Draft Recreation Resource Management Plan, PG&amp;E has proposed providing trail easements on their lands where appropriate, in consultation with U.S. Forest Service (USFS) and Chester Recreation and Parks District.</p>
<p>Open Space Easements: The draft suggests that the PG&amp;E owned lands above 4,500' elevation be precluded from future development (FR-6). The lands on the SE shore are particularly highlighted. These lands and their lakeshore locations may be integral to Plumas County's future economics. No conservation easements/sales should be instituted until and after proposed easement language has been disclosed and publicly vetted with current lessees (if any) and Plumas County itself for Property Tax and economic implications. Additionally, any Council proposals for changes in land tenure (e.g. donations or easements to donees) or major changes in lease terms in the Almanor Basin should specifically be presented to the Almanor Basin Watershed Advisory Council, prior to subsequent pre-decisional review by the Plumas County Board of Supervisors. Plumas Corporation has requested inclusion on the donee registry. Plumas County has requested the right of first refusal for all lands. We trust these requests will be responded to in the final LCP.</p>	<p>Ole Olsen, Plumas County Board of Supervisors</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Conservation easements will be developed as part of the disposition process and will be included in the Disposition Packages (Volume IIIs). The easements will describe all prohibited uses to maintain open space values, including the level of uses allowed. The Stewardship Council is developing an explicit set of criteria that will be used to determine which potential donees are qualified to participate in the disposition process. Qualified donees will be determined during the early stages of developing the Disposition Packages. There will be opportunities for the public and stakeholders to engage with the Stewardship Council and other stakeholders on this topic as well as other topics related to the disposition and future management and stewardship of the lands. The Stewardship Council will provide public notice and encourage participation in meetings, workshops, and other appropriate methods of participation in the planning process.</p>

Public Comments and  
Response to Comments on LCP Volume II

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Edit to Vol II Made</b>	<b>Response</b>
<p>Parking Area and Wildlife Viewing platform: The map addressing (FR-10) this project shows a wide area of possible locations for the facility at both ends of the causeway. Any such development should include accessible restrooms. A restroom on Chester's eastside was recommended as a high priority by the Chester Lake Almanor Chamber of Commerce in a 2002 Tourism Plan (Basic Tourism Infrastructure...Strategy). The closer the facility is to the east side of Chester, the more usable it will be.</p>	<p>Ole Olsen, Plumas County Board of Supervisors</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Stewardship Council received input from various stakeholders that suggested several potential locations for the potential measure to develop a viewing platform; and field visits indicated several potential sites may be viable. The evaluation of potential sites as proposed in the measure would address advantages and disadvantages of each, as well as the need and feasibility of amenities such as accessible restrooms, and will occur as part of the disposition process.</p>